

Appendix A

Public Protection Partnership Community Fund Applications - Supporting Information

1. Introduction/Background

- 1.1. The fund was established by virtue of the Committee decision to implement the Asset Recovery Incentivisation Scheme (ARIS) on March 14th 2017.
- 1.2. The Committee expressed a wish to determine all grant applications as part of their routine agenda.
- 1.3. The size of the fund has been capped at 20% of the total POCA reserve held (Proceeds of Crime Act).
- 1.4. The Community Fund has been promoted using a comprehensive PR campaign and the level of interest has been very encouraging.

2. Supporting Information

- 2.1. The application process has generated interest from a variety of community groups and local media, including an interview on local television. This has resulted in 19 applications.
- 2.2. Some clarification was required with regards to the status of Parish Councils but officers have agreed that in the spirit of the fund and its objectives, whilst Parish Councils were not specifically mentioned they are welcome to apply.
- 2.3. The PPP has had support from across the 3 Partner Authorities (Bracknell Forest, West Berkshire and Wokingham Councils) and their communications teams have worked together very effectively. The PPP Lead Officer for Community Engagement has been very complimentary about the demonstration of collaborative working. An example of the work produced is below:



2.4. Referring back to the original business plan the PPP is very excited to be able to help local communities get access to funds which will help address community safety and/or crime prevention issues.

3. Options for Consideration

3.1. The Committee is required to determine applications which meet the specific requirements as laid down by the report approved on 14th March 2017.

3.2. If any other applications are received between the deadline of 4th December 2017 and the Committee meeting on 12th December 2017 they will be considered at the next Committee meeting currently scheduled for 16th February 2018.

4. Proposals

4.1. To decide on all applications submitted by the deadline of close of play on 4th December 2017.

5. Conclusion

5.1. The Committee has made it clear that the Community Fund is a high priority.

5.2. The PPP will continue to champion the principle that crime should not and will not be allowed to pay, working hard to bring the benefits of good investigation work and careful application of the Proceeds of Crime Act (POCA) back to local communities.

6. Consultation and Engagement

6.1. The nature of the report does not merit external consultation at this stage however it may be beneficial to engage with local organisations who have links to crime prevention to discuss the merits of the Public Protection Community Fund. The extent of this will be dependent on resources available throughout the term of the agreement.

Background Papers:

None.

Papers containing facts or material you have relied on to prepare your report. The public can access these background papers.

PPP Strategic Aims and Priorities Supported:

The proposals will help achieve the following Public Protection Partnership aims as stated in the Inter Authority Agreement:

- 1 – Community Protection
 - 2 – Protecting and Improving Health
 - 3 – Protection of the Environment
 - 4 – Supporting Prosperity and Economic Growth
 - 5 – Effective and Improving Service Delivery
-

Officer details:

Name: Paul Anstey

Job Title: Head of Public Protection and Culture

Tel No: 01635 519837

E-mail Address: Paul.Anstey@westberks.gov.uk

Equality Impact Assessment – Stage One

We need to ensure that our strategies, policies, functions and services, current and proposed have given due regard to equality and diversity as set out in the Public Sector Equality Duty (Section 149 of the Equality Act), which states:

“(1) A public authority must, in the exercise of its functions, have due regard to the need to:

(a) eliminate discrimination, harassment, victimisation and any other conduct that is prohibited by or under this Act;

(b) advance equality of opportunity between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it; This includes the need to:

(i) remove or minimise disadvantages suffered by persons who share a relevant protected characteristic that are connected to that characteristic;

(ii) take steps to meet the needs of persons who share a relevant protected characteristic that are different from the needs of persons who do not share it;

(c) foster good relations between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it, with due regard, in particular, to the need to be aware that compliance with the duties in this section may involve treating some persons more favourably than others.

(2) The steps involved in meeting the needs of disabled persons that are different from the needs of persons who are not disabled include, in particular, steps to take account of disabled persons' disabilities.

(3) Compliance with the duties in this section may involve treating some persons more favourably than others.”

The following list of questions may help to establish whether the decision is relevant to equality:

- Does the decision affect service users, employees or the wider community?
- (The relevance of a decision to equality depends not just on the number of those affected but on the significance of the impact on them)
- Is it likely to affect people with particular protected characteristics differently?
- Is it a major policy, or a major change to an existing policy, significantly affecting how functions are delivered?
- Will the decision have a significant impact on how other organisations operate in terms of equality?
- Does the decision relate to functions that engagement has identified as being important to people with particular protected characteristics?
- Does the decision relate to an area with known inequalities?
- Does the decision relate to any equality objectives that have been set by the council?

Please complete the following questions to determine whether a full Stage Two, Equality Impact Assessment is required.

What is the proposed decision that you are asking the Committee to make:	To determine successful applications.
Summary of relevant legislation:	N/A
Does the proposed decision conflict with any of the partnerships key objectives?	No
Name of assessor:	Paul Anstey
Date of assessment:	4/12/17

Is this a:		Is this:	
Policy	No	New or proposed	No
Strategy	No	Already exists and is being reviewed	No
Function	No	Is changing	No
Service	No		

1. What are the main aims, objectives and intended outcomes of the proposed decision and who is likely to benefit from it?	
Aims:	To identify who will receive a grant.
Objectives:	Open and transparent priorities around grants.
Outcomes:	Enable to PPP to reduce crime and benefit the community.
Benefits:	Deliver additional projects and enhance community capacity for crime prevention activity.

2. Note which groups may be affected by the proposed decision. Consider how they may be affected, whether it is positively or negatively and what sources of information have been used to determine this.

(Please demonstrate consideration of all strands – Age, Disability, Gender Reassignment, Marriage and Civil Partnership, Pregnancy and Maternity, Race, Religion or Belief, Sex and Sexual Orientation.)		
Group Affected	What might be the effect?	Information to support this
Age	None	
Disability	None	
Gender Reassignment	None	
Marriage and Civil Partnership	none	
Pregnancy and Maternity	None	
Race	None	
Religion or Belief	None	
Sex	None	
Sexual Orientation	None	
Further Comments relating to the item:		

3. Result	
Are there any aspects of the proposed decision, including how it is delivered or accessed, that could contribute to inequality?	No
Please provide an explanation for your answer: Any assessment of how to spend monies recovered would be based around crime prevention and community safety.	
Will the proposed decision have an adverse impact upon the lives of people, including employees and service users?	No
Please provide an explanation for your answer: Use of ARIS is designed to make a positive contribution to local communities.	

If your answers to question 2 have identified potential adverse impacts and you have answered ‘yes’ to either of the sections at question 3, or you are unsure about the impact, then you should carry out a Stage Two Equality Impact Assessment.

If a Stage Two Equality Impact Assessment is required, before proceeding you should discuss the scope of the Assessment with service managers in your area. You will also need to refer to the [Equality Impact Assessment guidance and Stage Two template](#).

4. Identify next steps as appropriate:	
Stage Two required	No
Owner of Stage Two assessment:	
Timescale for Stage Two assessment:	

Name: Paul Anstey

Date:04/12/17
